

## ORBIT-HOMOGENEITY

PETER J. CAMERON AND ALEXANDER W. DENT

### ABSTRACT

We introduce the concept of orbit-homogeneity of permutation groups: a group  $G$  is orbit  $t$ -homogeneous if two sets of cardinality  $t$  lie in the same orbit of  $G$  whenever their intersections with each  $G$ -orbit have the same cardinality. For transitive groups, this coincides with the usual notion of  $t$ -homogeneity. This concept is also compatible with the idea of partition transitivity introduced by Martin and Sagan.

We show that any group generated by orbit  $t$ -homogeneous subgroups is orbit  $t$ -homogeneous, and that the condition becomes stronger as  $t$  increases up to  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , where  $n$  is the degree. So any group  $G$  has a unique maximal orbit  $t$ -homogeneous subgroup  $\Omega_t(G)$ , and  $\Omega_t(G) \leq \Omega_{t-1}(G)$ .

We also give some structural results for orbit  $t$ -homogeneous groups and a number of examples.

A permutation group  $G$  acting on a set  $V$  is said to be  $t$ -homogeneous if it acts transitively on the set of  $t$ -element subsets of  $V$ . The  $t$ -homogeneous groups which are not  $t$ -transitive have been classified (see [4, 5, 6]); the classification of  $t$ -transitive groups for  $t > 1$  follows from the classification of finite simple groups [3] (the list is given in [1]).

A permutation group  $G$  acting on a set  $V$  is said to be *orbit- $t$ -homogeneous*, or  *$t$ -homogeneous with respect to its orbit decomposition*, if whenever  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are  $t$ -subsets of  $V$  satisfying  $|S_1 \cap V_i| = |S_2 \cap V_i|$  for every  $G$ -orbit  $V_i$ , there exists  $g \in G$  with  $S_1g = S_2$ . Thus, a group which is  $t$ -homogeneous in the usual sense is orbit- $t$ -homogeneous; every group is orbit-1-homogeneous; and the trivial group is orbit- $t$ -homogeneous for every  $t$ . It is also clear that a group of degree  $n$  is orbit- $t$ -homogeneous if and only if it is orbit- $(n - t)$ -homogeneous; so, in these cases, we may assume  $t \leq n/2$  without loss of generality.

If two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subsets of  $V$  satisfying  $|S_1 \cap V_i| = |S_2 \cap V_i|$  for every  $G$ -orbit  $V_i$  then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are said to have the same structure with respect to  $G$  (or just to have the same structure if the group is obvious).

Theorem 4.3.4 of [2] is the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $G$  and  $H$  are orbit- $t$ -homogeneous on  $V$ , then so is  $\langle GH \rangle$ .*

Young extended the concept of homogeneous groups by investigating the relationship between permutation groups and partitions [8]. A partition of  $V$ ,  $P = (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ , is said to have shape

$$|P| = (|P_1|, |P_2|, \dots, |P_k|).$$

A group element  $g \in G$  is said to map the partition  $P$  onto a partition  $Q = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k)$  if  $P_i g = Q_i$  for all  $i$ . Obviously, a pre-requisite for this is that  $P$  and  $Q$  have the same structure with respect to  $G$ , i.e. that  $P_i$  and  $Q_i$  have the same

structure for all  $i$ . The permutation group  $G$  is said to be orbit- $\lambda$ -transitive if, for any two partitions of  $V$  that have shape  $\lambda$  and the same structure,  $P$  and  $Q$  say, there exists some  $g \in G$  that maps  $P$  to  $Q$ . A permutation group of degree  $n$  is orbit- $t$ -homogeneous if and only if it is orbit- $\lambda$ -transitive, where  $\lambda = (n - t, t)$ .

The following is a more generalised version of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $G$  and  $H$  are orbit- $\lambda$ -transitive on  $V$ , then so is  $\langle GH \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be partitions of  $V$  that have the same structure with respect to  $\langle GH \rangle$  and have shape  $\lambda$ . It is sufficient to show that there exists  $\sigma \in \langle GH \rangle$  such that  $P\sigma = Q$  when

- $P_1 = S_1 \cup \{x_1\}$  and  $P_2 = S_2 \cup \{x_2\}$ ,
- $Q_1 = S_1 \cup \{x_2\}$  and  $Q_2 = S_2 \cup \{x_1\}$ ,
- $P_i = Q_i$  for all  $i > 2$ ,

for some  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq V$ . Since  $P$  and  $Q$  have the same structure with respect to  $\langle GH \rangle$ ,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  must lie in the same  $\langle GH \rangle$ -orbit and so there exists an element  $\sigma' = g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$  such that  $x_1 \sigma' = x_2$ .

Suppose that  $m = 1$  and let  $y = x_1 g_1$ . Note that  $x_1$  and  $y$  lie in the same  $G$ -orbit and that  $y$  and  $x_2$  lie in the same  $H$ -orbit. If  $y = x_1$  or  $y = x_2$  then result is obvious, so assume that is not the case. There are now several cases to deal with.

Suppose that  $y \in P_1$ , i.e.  $S_1 = S'_1 \cup \{y\}$ , and consider the partition  $R = (R_1, R_2, \dots)$  where

$$R_1 = S'_1 \cup \{x_1, x_2\}, \quad R_2 = S_2 \cup \{y\}, \quad R_i = P_i = Q_i \text{ for all } i > 2.$$

The partitions  $P$  and  $R$  have the same structure with respect to  $H$  and both have shape  $\lambda$ . Hence there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $Ph = R$ . Similarly the partitions  $R$  and  $Q$  have the same structure with respect to  $G$  and so there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $Rg = Q$ . Hence the result holds.

Suppose that  $y \in P_2$ , i.e.  $S_2 = S'_2 \cup \{y\}$ , and consider the partition  $R = (R_1, R_2, \dots)$  where

$$R_1 = S_1 \cup \{y\}, \quad R_2 = S'_2 \cup \{x_1, x_2\}, \quad R_i = P_i = Q_i \text{ for all } i > 2.$$

The partitions  $P$  and  $R$  have the same structure with respect to  $G$  and both have shape  $\lambda$ . Hence there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $Pg = R$ . Similarly the partitions  $R$  and  $Q$  have the same structure with respect to  $H$  and so there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $Rh = Q$ . Hence the result holds.

If  $y \notin P_1 \cup P_2$  then, without loss of generality, it can be assumed that  $y \in P_3$ , i.e.  $P_3 = S_3 \cup \{y\}$  for some  $S_3 \subseteq V$ . Consider the partitions  $R = (R_1, R_2, \dots)$  where

$$R_1 = S_1 \cup \{y\}, \quad R_2 = S_2 \cup \{x_2\}, \quad R_3 = S_3 \cup \{x_1\}, \\ R_i = P_i = Q_i \text{ for all } i > 3,$$

and  $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots)$  where

$$T_1 = S_1 \cup \{x_2\}, \quad T_2 = S_2 \cup \{y\}, \quad T_3 = S_3 \cup \{x_1\}, \\ T_i = P_i = Q_i \text{ for all } i > 3.$$

Note that both partitions have shape  $\lambda$ . The partitions  $P$  and  $R$  have the same structure with respect to  $G$ , hence there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $Pg = R$ . The partitions  $R$  and  $T$  have the same structure with respect to  $H$ , hence there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $Rh = T$ . The partitions  $T$  and  $Q$  have the same structure with

respect to  $G$ , hence there exists  $g' \in G$  such that  $Tg' = Q$ . Hence the result holds when  $m = 1$ .

Assume, as induction hypothesis, that the theorem holds for a given value of  $m$  and consider the case when  $\sigma' = g_1 h_1 \dots g_{m+1} h_{m+1}$ . The above techniques may be repeated, with  $y = x_1 g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$ , to show that the theorem holds for  $m + 1$  and so for all values of  $m \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Hence any permutation group  $G$  on  $V$  has a unique subgroup  $\Omega_\lambda(G)$  which is maximal with respect to being orbit- $\lambda$ -transitive. Moreover, this subgroup is normal in  $G$ .

If  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  is a shape of a partition of  $V$  then, without loss of generality, it can be assumed that

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k.$$

Furthermore, if  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  is the shape of another partition of  $V$  then a partial ordering can be defined where  $\mu$  dominates  $\lambda$ , written  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , if

$$\sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^j \mu_i$$

for all  $j$  (with the convention that  $\lambda_i = 0$  for all  $i > k$ , and similarly for  $\mu$ ). Hence the set of shapes of  $V$  forms a lattice.

The following result, a more generalised version of the result of Livingstone and Wagner [6], is also true:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mu$  dominate  $\lambda$  and suppose that  $G$  is orbit- $\lambda$ -transitive. Then  $G$  is orbit  $\mu$ -transitive.*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove this in the case where  $\mu$  covers  $\lambda$  in the partition lattice, since we can then prove the theorem by induction on the length of the chain connecting them. This means that there exist  $j < k$  such that

$$\mu_j = \lambda_j + 1, \quad \mu_k = \lambda_k - 1, \quad \mu_i = \lambda_i \text{ for } i \neq j, k.$$

Suppose that  $G$  is orbit  $\lambda$ -transitive. Let  $(S_i)$  and  $(T_i)$  be two orbit-equivalent partitions with  $|S_i| = |T_i| = \mu_i$  for all  $i$ . We have to show that some element of  $g$  carries the first partition to the second. This follows from the Martin-Sagan result [7] if  $G$  is transitive, so we may suppose not.

Since  $\mu_j > \mu_k$ , there is an orbit  $V$  of  $G$  such that  $|V \cap S_j| > |V \cap S_k|$ . Choose  $x \in V \cap S_j$  and let  $S_j^* = S_j \setminus \{x\}$ ,  $S_k^* = S_k \cup \{x\}$ , and  $S_i^* = S_i$  for  $i \neq j, k$ ; construct  $T^*$  similarly. Then  $S^*$  and  $T^*$  are orbit-equivalent partitions of shape  $\lambda$ , and so there exists  $g \in G$  carrying  $S^*$  to  $T^*$ . This element carries  $S_i \setminus V$  to  $T_i \setminus V$  for all  $i$ , so we can assume these sets are equal.

Since  $|V \cap S_j| > |V \cap S_k|$ , the shape of the partition  $\lambda'$  of  $V$  induced by  $S^*$  is dominated by the shape  $\mu'$  of the partition induced by  $S$ . Now the stabiliser of all the sets  $S_i \setminus V$  is transitive on partitions of  $V$  of shape  $\lambda'$ . By Martin and Sagan again, it is transitive on partitions of shape  $\mu'$ , so there is an element  $h$  fixing all  $S_i \setminus V$  and mapping all  $S_i \cap V$  to  $T_i \cap V$ . So we are finished.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $G$  is an orbit- $t$ -homogeneous permutation group on a set  $V$ , where  $|V| \geq 2t - 1$  and  $t > 1$  then  $G$  is orbit- $(t - 1)$ -homogeneous.*

This result also shows that, with the earlier notation, an arbitrary permutation group  $G$  of degree  $n$  induces a lattice of normal subgroups  $\Omega_\lambda(G)$  where  $\Omega_\lambda(G) \leq \Omega_\mu(G)$  whenever  $\lambda \trianglelefteq \mu$ . It is clear that if  $\lambda = (n)$  or  $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$  then  $\Omega_\lambda(G) = G$  and that if  $\lambda = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  then  $\Omega_\lambda(G) = 1_G$  unless  $G$  is the symmetric group (in which case  $\Omega_\lambda(G) = G$  for all  $\lambda$ ).

For  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ , let  $\Omega_t(G)$  denote  $\Omega_\lambda(G)$  where  $\lambda = (n-t, t)$ . Hence  $\Omega_t(G)$  is the maximal subgroup of  $G$  that is orbit- $t$ -homogeneous.

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose  $G$  is a permutation group with degree  $n$  that acts on a set  $V$  with  $d$  orbits. If  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$  is a chain of shapes of  $V$  such that  $\lambda_{i+1} \trianglelefteq \lambda_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$  then*

$$|\{\Omega_{\lambda_i}(G) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}| \leq d + 2$$

*Proof.* Every shape except  $(n)$  and  $(n-1, 1)$  is dominated by  $(n-2, 2)$ . Hence  $\Omega_{\lambda_i}(G)$  is orbit-2-homogeneous for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  except, possibly, when  $i = 1$  and  $i = 2$ . Now,  $\Omega_2(G)$  acts primitively on its orbits; so, for each  $\lambda_i \trianglelefteq (n-2, 2)$ , the normal subgroup  $\Omega_{\lambda_i}(G)$  must act either transitively or trivially on each  $\Omega_2(G)$ -orbit. Furthermore, if  $\Omega_{\lambda_i}(G)$  acts trivially on a  $\Omega_2(G)$ -orbit then it acts trivially on all the  $\Omega_2(G)$ -orbits in the same  $G$ -orbit.

Therefore, either  $\Omega_{\lambda_{i+1}}(G)$  acts trivially on exactly the same  $G$ -orbits as  $\Omega_{\lambda_i}(G)$ , and so  $\Omega_{\lambda_{i+1}}(G) = \Omega_{\lambda_i}(G)$ , or there exists at least one  $G$ -orbit on which  $\Omega_{\lambda_{i+1}}(G)$  acts trivially and  $\Omega_{\lambda_i}(G)$  does not. If  $\Omega_{\lambda_i}(G)$  acts trivially on every  $G$ -orbit then  $\Omega_{\lambda_i}(G) = 1_G$ . Hence the result holds.  $\square$

This means that in the case of orbit- $t$ -homogeneous groups things are, in fact, quite restricted.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $G$  is transitive then one of the following holds:*

- (a)  $\Omega_1(G) = G$ ,  $\Omega_t(G) = 1_G$  for all  $1 < t \leq n/2$ .
- (b) There is a non-trivial normal subgroup  $N \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $\Omega_1(G) = G$ ,  $\Omega_t(G) = N$  for all  $1 < t \leq n/2$ .
- (c) There is a non-trivial normal subgroup  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and an integer  $m > 1$  such that  $\Omega_1(G) = G$ ,  $\Omega_t(G) = N$  for  $1 < t \leq m$ , and  $\Omega_t(G) = 1_G$  for all  $m < t \leq n/2$ .

As a series of examples, consider a group  $H$  that acts on a set of  $n$  points ( $n \geq 2$ ), and the wreath product  $G = \text{Wr}(H, C_2) = (H \times H) \cdot C_2$  that acts on a set  $V$  of  $2n$  points in the natural way.

- If  $H \cong C_n$  then  $\Omega_1(G) = G$  and  $\Omega_t(G) = 1$  for all  $1 < t \leq n$ .
- If  $H \cong S_n$  then  $\Omega_1(G) = G$  and  $\Omega_t(G) = H \times H$  for all  $1 < t \leq n$ .
- If  $H$  is  $u$ -homogeneous but not  $(u+1)$ -homogeneous, for  $1 < u < n$ , then  $\Omega_1(G) = G$ ,  $\Omega_t(G) = H \times H$  for  $2 \leq t \leq u$ , and  $\Omega_t(G) = 1$  for  $u < t \leq n$ . Such groups exist only for  $u \leq 5$  (by the main result of Livingstone and Wagner and the classification of  $t$ -transitive groups).

For intransitive groups, things are not so restricted, as the examples in the following remarks show.

**REMARK 1.** A permutation group  $G$  with two orbits  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  is orbit 2-homogeneous if and only if  $G$  is 2-homogeneous on each orbit and transitive on

$V_1 \times V_2$  (equivalently, the permutation characters of  $G$  on  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are different). There are many examples of such groups. In particular, both, one, or neither of the actions of  $G$  on  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  may be faithful, as the following examples show:

- $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$ , with orbits of size 7 and 8;
- $\text{PFL}(2, 8)$ , with orbits of size 3 and 28;
- the direct product of two 2-homogeneous groups.

REMARK 2. Let  $G$  be a group having all orbits of size 2 (say  $O_1, \dots, O_m$ ). With each  $g \in G$ , associate the  $m$ -tuple  $(e_1, \dots, e_m)$ , where  $e_i = 0$  or 1 according as  $g$  fixes  $O_i$  pointwise or not. Then  $G$  is orbit  $t$ -homogeneous if and only if the set of all these  $m$ -tuples is an orthogonal array of strength  $t$ , for any  $t \leq m$ . (This means that, given any  $t$  coordinates  $i_1, \dots, i_t$ , and any  $t$  values  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_t \in \{0, 1\}$ , there is a constant number  $\lambda$  of elements  $g \in G$  whose associated  $m$ -tuple satisfies  $e_{i_j} = \epsilon_{i_j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ .)

To prove this, note that for a group the requirement of being an orthogonal array of strength  $t$  is equivalent to the formally weaker requirement that, given  $i_1, \dots, i_t$  and  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_t$ , there is some element of  $G$  with the required property (since there will then be  $|G|/2^t$  such elements). Now take any two orbit-equivalent  $t$ -sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Let  $i_1, \dots, i_s$  be the indices  $i$  for which  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  meet the  $i$ th orbit in singletons, and put  $\epsilon_{i_j} = 0$  if  $S_1 \cap O_{i_j} = S_2 \cap O_{i_j}$ ,  $\epsilon_{i_j} = 1$  otherwise. Now the element  $g$  guaranteed by the strength- $s$  property of the orthogonal array maps  $S_1$  to  $S_2$ . The converse is proved by reversing the argument.

In particular, if  $G$  consists of all even permutations fixing the orbits, then it is an orthogonal array of strength  $m - 1$ . This shows that there are orbit  $t$ -homogeneous groups with arbitrarily large  $t$ .

REMARK 3. If  $G$  has all orbits of size 3, then  $G$  is orbit  $t$ -homogeneous if and only if its (normal) Sylow 3-subgroup is. The criterion for this is almost identical to that in Remark 1, using the alphabet  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ . Also, if  $G$  has all orbits of size 2 or 3, then  $G$  is orbit  $t$ -homogeneous if and only if the groups induced on the union of orbits of each size are. We do not give details.

REMARK 4. The situation for orbits of size 4 or more is a bit more complicated. We can give a partial description of the orbit 4-homogeneous groups as follows.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $G$  be orbit 4-homogeneous of degree at least 8, and let  $H$  be the third derived group of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is a direct product of simple groups taken from the list  $A_n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ),  $M_n$  ( $n = 11, 12, 23, 24$ ), and  $\text{PSL}(2, q)$  ( $q = 5, 8, 32$ ), each factor acting transitively on one  $G$ -orbit and fixing all the others pointwise.*

*Proof.* The 4-homogeneous groups which are not 4-transitive have been classified by Kantor [4], and the list of 4-transitive groups follows from the classification of finite simple groups. All of them have simple derived groups in the list in the proposition. Groups of degree at most 4 have derived length at most 3. By inspection, a group on the above list cannot act non-trivially on two different orbits in an orbit-4-homogeneous group.  $\square$

There remains some subtlety in the structure of  $G$ . For example:

- The Proposition gives no information about orbits of size at most 4. In partic-

ular, the examples described in Remarks 2 and 3 are completely invisible from this point of view.

- Any group  $G$  lying between a direct product  $\prod_{i=1}^r S_{n_i}$  of symmetric groups and its derived group  $\prod_{i=1}^r A_{n_i}$  (with  $n_i \geq 5$  for all  $i$ ) is orbit 4-homogeneous. We can add orbits of length 2 on which  $G/\prod A_{n_i}$  acts as in Remark 1.
- The group  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8)$ , acting with orbits of size 3 and 9, is orbit 4-homogeneous. The transitivity on 4-sets containing one point from the orbit of length 3 follows from the 3-homogeneity of  $\text{PSL}(2, 8)$ .

REMARK 5. The above Proposition fails for orbit 3-homogeneous groups. The groups  $S_6$  (with two inequivalent orbits of size 6) and  $M_{12}$  (with two inequivalent orbits of size 12) are orbit 3-homogeneous but not orbit 4-homogeneous. Other examples include  $(C_2^r)^m \cdot \text{GL}(r, 2)$ , for  $m, r \geq 2$ , with  $m$  orbits of size  $2^r$ .

REMARK 6. If  $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_5$ , where  $G_t$  is  $t$ -homogeneous but not  $(t+1)$ -homogeneous, then  $\Omega_t(G) = \Omega_t(G_1) \times G_t \times \dots \times G_5$  for  $2 \leq t \leq 5$ .

### *References*

1. P. J. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
2. A. W. Dent, *On the theory of point weight designs*, Ph.D. thesis, University of London, 2002.
3. D. Gorenstein, *Finite Simple Groups: An Introduction to their Classification*, Plenum Press, New York, 1982.
4. W. M. Kantor, 4-homogeneous groups, *Math. Z.* **103** (1968), 67–68.
5. W. M. Kantor,  $k$ -homogeneous groups, *Math. Z.* **124** (1972), 261–265.
6. D. Livingstone and A. Wagner, Transitivity of finite permutation groups on unordered sets, *Math. Z.* **90** (1965), 393–403.
7. W. J. Martin and B. E. Sagan, A new notion of transitivity for groups and sets of permutations, to appear in *The Journal of the London Mathematical Society*.
8. G. de B. Robinson, *Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, Edinburgh University Press, 1961.

*Peter J. Cameron*  
*School of Mathematical Sciences*  
*Queen Mary, University of London*  
*Mile End Road*  
*London E1 4NS*

P.J.Cameron@qmul.ac.uk

*Alexander W. Dent*  
*Information Security Group*  
*Royal Holloway, University of London*  
*Egham Hill*  
*Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX*

A.Dent@rhul.ac.uk