

An Atlas of Sporadic Group Representations

Robert A. Wilson

revised version; final version published 1998 in LMS Lecture
Notes 249

Introduction

The ‘ATLAS of Finite Groups’ [5] was originally conceived by its authors as Volume 1 of a series, as its subtitle ‘Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups’ might suggest. In the event, subsequent volumes have been rather slow to appear, with Volume 2, the ‘Atlas of Brauer Characters’ (or ‘ABC’ for short [8]), being published in 1995, just in time for this conference. Indeed, even this is only Part 1 of Volume 2, as the accidentally undeleted subtitle on page 1 proclaims, in that it only includes groups of order up to 10^9 .

At this conference, several suggestions for Volume 3 have been made, most involving large quantities of data stored on computers. It seems likely that whatever Volume 3 eventually turns out to be, it will not be a big heavy book of the type hitherto associated with the word ‘Atlas’.

My own submission as a candidate for Volume 3 is a collection of explicit representations of groups. A number of these were mentioned in the ‘Atlas of Finite Groups’ under the now notorious phrase ‘Explicit matrices have been computed.’ Many others have been computed since. In fact, it is difficult to know where to stop with such a collection of representations, and it (like many databases) could easily be allowed to expand to fill all the disk-space available.

For the moment, this ‘Atlas of group representations’ is restricted to the sporadic simple groups, and we now have (in principle) representations of all of these and their covers and automorphism groups, with the two exceptions of the Monster and the double cover of the Baby Monster. A list of the groups and representations that are included is given in Table 1, though it will surely be out-of-date by the time it is printed. The reader may object that there is no representation of $6 \cdot Fi_{22}$ or $6 \cdot Fi_{22}:2$ in the list, but this should not matter too much, as representations of $2 \cdot Fi_{22}:2$ and $3 \cdot Fi_{22}:2$ are given. (In fact, there seems to be no convenient faithful representation of $6 \cdot Fi_{22}:2$ to

construct. Perhaps the best would be as a group of permutations on 370656 points.)

One obvious direction in which this ‘Atlas’ could be extended is to include all exceptional covers of generic groups. There seems to be no serious obstacle (except lack of time and energy) to doing so, although it may be quite a challenge to construct a representation of $(2^2 \times 3) \cdot^2 E_6(2) : S_3$. Another possible direction is to consider characteristic 0 matrix representations of reasonably small degree—this is an obvious area for application of R. A. Parker’s new Integral Meat-axe [23].

The representations collected here have been obtained in various ways, which can be roughly divided into the following four categories.

1. From existing literature on hand constructions, or constructions involving limited use of a computer. For example, some representations of the Mathieu groups and Leech lattice groups, as well as J_1 and Ru .
2. From existing computer constructions. For example, representations of $3 \cdot J_3$, $3 \cdot McL$, the Fischer groups, Ly , Th , and J_4 .
3. By constructing representations *ab initio*. For example, representations of $4 \cdot M_{22}$, HN , B , and new representations of $O’N$, Ly , Fi_{24} and He .
4. By constructing new representations from old ones. For example, other representations of the Mathieu groups, J_1 , Ru , Suz , Fi_{22} , and others.

We now consider these various methods in more detail.

1 Existing ‘hand’ constructions

Here we also include some constructions which were originally computer-assisted, but which are small enough for group generators to be entered by hand. Most of these constructions are derived either from the constructions of M_{12} and M_{24} by Mathieu [16], [17], or the construction of Co_1 by Conway [2]. In particular, all the listed permutation representations of the Mathieu groups are easily obtained in this way. Similarly, the 24-dimensional characteristic zero matrix representation of $2 \cdot Co_1$ can be written over the integers and reduced modulo any prime, and easily gives rise to all the listed matrix representations of Co_2 , Co_3 , Suz and its decorations, as well as those of dimension 20–22 for HS and McL .

Other constructions of this type are the 28-dimensional representation of $2 \cdot Ru$ by Conway and Wales [4] (see also Conway’s later simplification [1]), as well as the 36-dimensional representation of $3 \cdot J_3 : 2$ [3]. Easiest of all is the 7-dimensional representation of J_1 described by Janko [7]. Perhaps here

Table 1: Available representations of sporadic groups

Group	Degree	Field	Group	Degree	Field
M_{11}	11	1	$4 \cdot M_{22}$	56	25
	12	1		16	49
	10	2		56	121
	5	3	$4 \cdot M_{22}:2$	32	7
	16	4	$6 \cdot M_{22}$	36	121
M_{12}	16	4	$6 \cdot M_{22}:2$	72	11
$M_{12}:2$	24	1	$12 \cdot M_{22}$	48	25
	10	2	24	121	
$2 \cdot M_{12}$	24	1	$12 \cdot M_{22}:2$	48	11
$2 \cdot M_{12}:2$	48	1	J_2	6	4
	10	3	$J_2:2$	100	1
	12	3	14	5	
J_1	266	1	$2 \cdot J_2$	6	9
	1045	1	$2 \cdot J_2:2$	12	3
	1463	1	M_{23}	23	1
	1540	1	11	2	
	1596	1	280	23	
	2926	1	HS	100	1
	4180	1	176	1	
	20	2	$HS:2$	100	1
	56	9	352	1	
	56	5	15400	1	
31	7	20	2		
7	11	22	3		
22	19	21	5		
M_{22}	22	1	896	11	
$M_{22}:2$	22	1	$2 \cdot HS$	28	5
	77	1	$2 \cdot HS:2$	112	3
	10	2	56	5	
$2 \cdot M_{22}$	10	7	J_3	18	9
$2 \cdot M_{22}:2$	10	7	$J_3:2$	6156	1
	6	4	36	3	
$3 \cdot M_{22}$	21	25	$3 \cdot J_3$	9	4
	21	7	$3 \cdot J_3:2$	18	2
	21	121	M_{24}	24	1
$3 \cdot M_{22}:2$	12	2			

Group	Degree	Field	Group	Degree	Field
McL	21	5	Co_2	2300	1
	1200	25		4600	1
$McL:2$	21	5		22	2
$3 \cdot McL$	396	4		24	2
	45	25		23	3
$3 \cdot McL:2$	90	5	Fi_{22}	3510	1
He	51	4	$Fi_{22}:2$	3510	1
	51	25		78	2
$He:2$	2058	1		77	3
	102	2	$2 \cdot Fi_{22}$	28160	1
	102	5		176	3
	50	7		352	5
Ru	4060	1	$2 \cdot Fi_{22}:2$	352	3
	28	2	$2 \cdot Fi_{22}:4$	352	5
$2 \cdot Ru$	16240	1	$3 \cdot Fi_{22}$	27	4
	28	5	$3 \cdot Fi_{22}:2$	54	2
Suz	1782	1	HN	132	4
$Suz:2$	64	3	$HN:2$	264	2
	1782	1		133	5
$2 \cdot Suz$	12	3	Ly	111	5
$2 \cdot Suz:2$	12	3		651	3
$3 \cdot Suz$	12	4		2480	4
$3 \cdot Suz:2$	24	2	Th	248	2
	5346	1		248	3
$6 \cdot Suz$	24	3	Fi_{23}	31671	1
$6 \cdot Suz:2$	24	3		782	2
$O'N$	154	3		253	3
$O'N$	406	7	Co_1	24	2
$O'N:2$	154	9	$2 \cdot Co_1$	24	3
$O'N:4$	154	3	J_4	112	2
$3 \cdot O'N$	153	4	$Fi'_{24}:2$	306936	1
$3 \cdot O'N:2$	90	7		781	3
	306	2	$3 \cdot Fi'_{24}$	920808	1
Co_3	22	2		783	4
	22	3	$3 \cdot Fi'_{24}:2$	1566	2
	276	1	B	4370	2

Note: we adopt the convention that an underlying ‘field’ of order 1 signifies a permutation representation.

we should also mention the 9-dimensional representation of $3 \cdot J_3$ over $GF(4)$, first found by Richard Parker using the Meat-axe, later tidied up by Benson and Conway into the form given in the ATLAS.

Some small permutation representations which can be obtained by hand include the representations of HS and J_2 , and their automorphism groups, on 100 points, and $HS:2$ on 352 points.

2 Existing computer constructions

Under this heading there are some famous constructions, as well as a number of unpublished ones which have often been duplicated. In addition, there are some constructions which were essentially done by hand, but which are simply too big to enter into a computer in any simple-minded manner.

Perhaps the most important original matrix construction was that of J_4 in dimension 112 over $GF(2)$ by Norton and Parker [20], pioneering a technique that has since become standard (see [24]). Other important ones to mention are Parker's construction [18] of the Lyons group in $O_{111}(5)$, and $3 \cdot O'N$ in $GL_{45}(7)$ (see [27]), as well as $3 \cdot Fi_{22}$ in $GU_{27}(2)$. The Thompson group was constructed by Smith [30] in characteristic 0, and an analogous construction in characteristic 3 was given by Linton [12]. An explicit construction of the Held group was given by Ryba [26].

There are also some important constructions of permutation representations by coset enumeration. An early example was the construction of J_3 by Higman and McKay as a permutation group on 6156 points [6]. The permutation representations of the Fischer groups can also be considered in this category, especially the representations of Fi_{24} and $3 \cdot Fi_{24}$ which were provided for us by Steve Linton, using his double-coset enumerator [13].

3 *Ab initio* constructions

The impetus to start making a systematic collection of matrix representations of the sporadic groups came in June 1991 when Klaus Lux asked me for representations of several of the large sporadic groups. Searching through my files, I found two or three of these, but did not find HN or Fi_{24} . Accordingly, I tried to construct HN , choosing the 133-dimensional orthogonal representation over $GF(5)$. This construction took me three days [29], so I next tackled the 781-dimensional orthogonal representation of Fi_{24} over $GF(3)$, which took only two days [36]. The following week I constructed the 4370-dimensional representation of the Baby Monster over $GF(2)$ (see [35]). In each case, the construction follows Parker's method [24].

Subsequently I returned to the subject at intervals when suitable interesting construction problems presented themselves. Ibrahim Suleiman and I gave the first (so far as we are aware) explicit construction of $4 \cdot M_{22}$, a group which a few years previously had been ‘proved’ not to exist.

While working with Christoph Jansen on computing modular character tables, we decided to tackle the very challenging problem of determining the 2-modular character table of the O’Nan group. After some exploratory calculations Christoph suggested that it was possible that the reduction of the degree 495 characters modulo 2 might contain a degree 342 character—if so then $3 \cdot O’N$ would have an irreducible (unitary) 153-dimensional representation over $GF(4)$. It seemed clear to me that the easiest way to prove this would be to construct the representation from scratch—which we did the next day [9]. (In fact, we went on to complete the 2-modular character table [10] soon afterwards.)

By a remarkable coincidence, a very similar chain of events led us to the construction of a 154-dimensional orthogonal representation of $O’N$ over $GF(3)$. This suggested to us that we should look for other such ‘surprising’ representations to construct, and in fact we showed that there was only one more (with a suitable definition of ‘surprising’), namely a 651-dimensional orthogonal representation of the Lyons group over $GF(3)$, a construction of which is described in [11]. A similar construction gives the 2480-dimensional unitary representation over $GF(4)$ (see [37]).

4 Standard generators

Before considering the various ways of constructing new representations from old ones, it is worth pausing briefly to discuss generators for the groups. Each representation is most conveniently stored as a list of matrices (or permutations) giving the images of certain group generators in that representation. For various reasons it is important to standardize the generators for each given group. For example, the tensor product of two representations of a group G can only be made if the same generators for G are available in both representations. A discussion of some of the issues involved in choosing such ‘standard generators’ can be found in [38], and some implications and applications are explored in [33], using the specific example of the group J_3 .

Here we simply list in Tables 2 and 3 the defining properties of our standard generators for the groups G and $G:2$, where G is a sporadic simple group. For the present, we consider generators for covering groups to be standard if they map to standard generators of G or $G:2$ under the natural quotient map. Thus they are *not* (yet) defined up to automorphisms.

Table 2: Standard generators of sporadic simple groups

Group	Triple (a, b, ab)	Further conditions
M_{11}	2, 4, 11	$o((ab)^2(abab^2)^2ab^2) = 4$
M_{12}	2B, 3B, 11	none
J_1	2, 3, 7	$o(abab^2) = 19$
M_{22}	2A, 4A, 11	$o(abab^2) = 11(\iff o(ab^2) = 5)$
J_2	2B, 3B, 7	$o([a, b]) = 12$
M_{23}	2, 4, 23	$o((ab)^2(abab^2)^2ab^2) = 8$
HS	2A, 5A, 11	none
J_3	2A, 3A, 19	$o([a, b]) = 9$
M_{24}	2B, 3A, 23	$o(ab(abab^2)^2ab^2) = 4$
McL	2A, 5A, 11	$o((ab)^2(abab^2)^2ab^2) = 7$
He	2A, 7C, 17	none
Ru	2B, 4A, 13	none
Suz	2B, 3B, 13	$o([a, b]) = 15$
$O'N$	2A, 4A, 11	none
Co_3	3A, 4A, 14	none
Co_2	2A, 5A, 28	none
Fi_{22}	2A, 13, 11	$o((ab)^2(abab^2)^2ab^2) = 12$
HN	2A, 3B, 22	$o([a, b]) = 5$
Ly	2, 5A, 14	$o(ababab^2) = 67$
Th	2, 3A, 19	none
Fi_{23}	2B, 3D, 28	none
Co_1	2B, 3C, 40	none
J_4	2A, 4A, 37	$o(abab^2) = 10$
Fi_{24}'	2A, 3E, 29	$o((ab)^3b) = 33$
B	2C, 3A, 55	$o((ab)^2(abab^2)^2ab^2) = 23$
M	2A, 3B, 29	none

Table 3: Standard generators of automorphism groups of sporadic groups

Group	Triple (a, b, ab)	Further conditions
$M_{12}:2$	$2C, 3A, 12$	$ab \in 12A (\iff o([a, b]) = 11)$
$M_{22}:2$	$2B, 4C, 11$	none
$J_2:2$	$2C, 5AB, 14$	none
$HS:2$	$2C, 5C, 30$	none
$J_3:2$	$2B, 3A, 24$	$o([a, b]) = 9$
$McL:2$	$2B, 3B, 22$	$o((ab)^2(abab^2)^2ab^2) = 24$
$He:2$	$2B, 6C, 30$	none
$Suz:2$	$2C, 3B, 28$	none
$O'N:2$	$2B, 4A, 22$	none
$Fi_{22}:2$	$2A, 18E, 42$	none
$HN:2$	$2C, 5A, 42$	none
$Fi_{24}':2$	$2C, 8D, 29$	none

5 New representations from old

There are many techniques available for obtaining new representations for a group from old ones. The basic method, which was the rationale behind the original development of the Meat-axe [22], is to tensor two matrix representations (over the same field) together, and then chop up the result into irreducibles. This enables many representations in the same characteristic as the original to be constructed. Various technical refinements can be used to extend the range of this basic technique. For example, use of symmetric and exterior squares, and other higher symmetrized powers, in addition to tensor products. The ideas of condensation, exploited by Ryba [28], Lux and Wiegelmann [14], and others, can also be used here.

A matrix representation will yield a permutation representation by calculating the action of the group on an orbit of vectors (or 1-dimensional subspaces, or k -dimensional subspaces, or any other convenient objects). A permutation representation can be reduced modulo any prime and chopped up with the Meat-axe into irreducibles—in this context the condensation method really comes into its own (see for example [10], among many others). These two ideas together enable one to change characteristic—that is, given a representation of G over a field of characteristic p , obtain one over a field of characteristic q .

Some of these techniques can change the group being represented. For example, the tensor product of two faithful irreducible representations of a double cover $2 \cdot G$ will represent only G , since the central involution acts as the scalar $(-1) \times (-1) = +1$. Another useful example here is the following: to

obtain a representation of $12 \cdot M_{22}$, take the tensor product of a representation of $3 \cdot M_{22}$ and a representation of $4 \cdot M_{22}$. Similarly, if an orbit of subspaces is permuted in a matrix representation, then in the resulting permutation representation the scalars act trivially.

There are two other important ways of changing the group. Firstly, if H is a subgroup of G , and we can find words in our generators of G which give generators of H , then any representation of G can be restricted to H . Secondly, if we have a representation of G then we can construct a representation of $G.\langle\tau\rangle$, where τ acts as an outer automorphism of G . Examples are described in [31], [9], [33], among others. Essentially, given a set $\{g_i\}$ of standard generators for G , words in the g_i are found giving images h_i of g_i under an outer automorphism τ . Then a ‘standard basis’ method (see [22]) is used to find explicitly a matrix (or permutation) conjugating the g_i to the h_i .

6 Future developments

There is clearly a great deal of room for further development of this ‘Atlas of group representations’. Firstly there are other representations of the sporadic groups which are not easy to obtain from the given ones, but which may be interesting in their own right. Secondly, it would be very nice to have characteristic 0 matrix representations. Of course, permutation representations can be made into characteristic 0 matrix representations, but they are often far too big to handle in this way. A number of examples exist in the literature, in varying degrees of explicitness (see for example, Conway and Wales [3], [4], Norton [19], [21], Margolin [15]), and some work has been done by Stephen Rogers [25] on integrating these and others into the ‘Atlas of group representations’.

Thirdly, there are other interesting groups which could be included. For example, as the referee pointed out, the exceptional covers of generic groups are closely related to the sporadic groups, and should be included. Since receiving the referee’s report, I have made significant progress on constructing representations of these groups, but plenty remains to be done in this area.

Another way of extending the database would be to include the maximal subgroups of the sporadic groups. This could be done by including a library of procedures which, given standard generators for a group G , would produce a representative of each class of maximal subgroups of G . Some work on this has already been done by Peter Walsh [34].

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the referee, and Chris Parker, for helpful comments. I would like to thank the SERC (now EPSRC) for two grants which partially supported this work. And last but not least I would like to thank Richard Parker whose ideas lie behind almost everything discussed in this paper.

References

- [1] J. H. Conway, A quaternionic construction for the Rudvalis group, in *Topics in group theory and computation* (ed. M. P. J. Curran), pp. 69–81. Academic Press, 1977.
- [2] J. H. Conway, A group of order 8,315,554,613,086,720,000, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **1** (1969), 79–88.
- [3] J. H. Conway and D. B. Wales, Matrix generators for J_3 , *J. Algebra* **29** (1974), 474–476.
- [4] J. H. Conway and D. B. Wales, The construction of the Rudvalis simple group of order 145,926,144,000, *J. Algebra* **27** (1973), 538–548.
- [5] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *An ATLAS of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [6] G. Higman and J. McKay, On Janko’s simple group of order 50,232,960, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **1** (1969), 89–94.
- [7] Z. Janko, A new finite simple group with Abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, and its characterization, *J. Algebra* **3** (1966), 147–186.
- [8] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker and R. Wilson, *An Atlas of Brauer Characters*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [9] C. Jansen and R. A. Wilson, Two new constructions of the O’Nan group, *J. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [10] C. Jansen and R. A. Wilson, The 2-modular and 3-modular decomposition numbers for the sporadic simple O’Nan group and its triple cover, *J. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [11] C. Jansen and R. A. Wilson, The minimal faithful 3-modular representation for the Lyons group, *Comm. Algebra*, to appear.
- [12] S. A. Linton, The maximal subgroups of the Thompson group, *J. London Math. Soc.* **39** (1989), 79–88.

- [13] S. A. Linton, Double coset enumeration, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **12** (1991), 415–426.
- [14] K. Lux and M. Wiegelmann, Tensor condensation, *these proceedings*, pp. ***.
- [15] R. S. Margolin, A geometry for M_{24} , *J. Algebra* **156** (1993), 370–384.
- [16] E. Mathieu, Mémoire sur l'étude des fonctions de plusieurs quantités, *J. Math. Pure et Appl.* **6** (1861), 241–323.
- [17] E. Mathieu, Sur les fonctions cinq fois transitives de 24 quantités, *J. Math. Pure et Appl.* **18** (1873), 25–46.
- [18] W. Meyer, W. Neutsch and R. Parker, The minimal 5-representation of Lyons' sporadic group, *Math. Ann.* **272** (1985), 29–39.
- [19] S. P. Norton, F and other simple groups, Ph. D. Thesis, Cambridge, 1975.
- [20] S. P. Norton, The construction of J_4 , in *Proceedings of the Santa Cruz conference on group theory* (ed. B. Cooperstein and G. Mason), pp. 271–277. Amer. Math. Soc., 1980.
- [21] S. P. Norton, On the Fischer group Fi_{24} , *Geom. Dedicata* **25** (1988), 483–501.
- [22] R. A. Parker, The computer calculation of modular characters (the Meat-axe), in *Computational group theory* (ed. M. D. Atkinson), pp. 267–274. Academic Press, 1984.
- [23] R. A. Parker, An integral Meat-axe, *these proceedings*, pp. ***.
- [24] R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, The computer construction of matrix representations of finite groups over finite fields, *J. Symbolic Computation* **9** (1990), 583–590.
- [25] S. J. F. Rogers, *Representations of finite simple groups over fields of characteristic zero*, M. Phil. thesis, Birmingham, 1995.
- [26] A. J. E. Ryba, Matrix generators for the Held group, in *Computers in algebra* (ed. M. C. Tangora), pp. 135–141. Marcel Dekker, 1988.
- [27] A. J. E. Ryba, A new construction of the O'Nan simple group, *J. Algebra* **112** (1988), 173–197.

- [28] A. J. E. Ryba, Condensation programs and their application to the decomposition of modular representations, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **9** (1990), 591–600.
- [29] A. J. E. Ryba and R. A. Wilson, Matrix generators for the Harada–Norton group, *Experimental Math.* **3** (1994), 137–145.
- [30] P. E. Smith, A simple subgroup of $M?$ and $E_8(3)$, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1976), 161–165.
- [31] I. A. I. Suleiman and R. A. Wilson, Computer construction of matrix representations of the covering group of the Higman–Sims group, *J. Algebra* **148** (1992), 219–224.
- [32] I. A. I. Suleiman and R. A. Wilson, Construction of the fourfold cover of the Mathieu group M_{22} , *Experimental Math.* **2** (1993), 11–14.
- [33] I. A. I. Suleiman and R. A. Wilson, Standard generators for J_3 , *Experimental Math.* **4** (1995), 11–18.
- [34] P. G. Walsh, *Standard generators of some sporadic simple groups*, M. Phil. thesis, Birmingham, 1994.
- [35] R. A. Wilson, A new construction of the Baby Monster, and its applications, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1993), 431–437.
- [36] R. A. Wilson, Matrix generators for Fischer’s group Fi_{24} , *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **113** (1993), 5–8.
- [37] R. A. Wilson, A representation of the Lyons group in $GL_{2480}(4)$, Preprint 95/29, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Birmingham, 1995.
- [38] R. A. Wilson, Standard generators for sporadic simple groups, *J. Algebra*, to appear.